Request from civil society, citizens and WHO Member States for immediate suspension of the preparation of a new treaty or withdrawal of a country from the WHO:

HEALTH IS ONE OF THE HIGHEST VALUES so NOTHING ON OUR BEHALF WITHOUT US

Preparations for the new treaty are taking place without an interested public, without us who are directly involved. Health is one of the highest values to each one of us. You are trying to assert the "right" to health which is an attempt to legalise discrimination, because health is not a right but is a value, care and responsibility of every person and as such must not be abused and manipulated, or put into the hands of a group of people who self-proclaimed themselves.

The undersigned citizens, of different professions and of different positions are completely united on this matter; all of the doctors, nurses, lawyers, teachers, educators, chefs, hairdressers, shopkeepers and merchants, caterers, masons...... we announce by our signature that we do not agree with your attempt to deprive us of our constitutionally guaranteed sovereignty and of forcing a new world order.

This is our announcement: your contract is and will be merely a dead letter on paper, completely null and void, because it cannot be forced on us without our informed fully expressed decision, which is part of democratic decision-making.

**WHAT IS WHO OR WORLD HEALTH ORGANISATION (WHO), WHAT IS ITS PRIMARY PURPOSE?**

The WHO was established in 1948 as an independent consultative body within the UN with the aim of promoting health on a global scale, contributing to maintaining a secure world and caring for the most vulnerable. The main tasks are: providing technical assistance to countries, setting international standards in the field of health and collecting data on the state of health in the world. It serves as a forum for discussions on a variety of health topics. Until recently, its global recommendations were non-binding.

**WHAT IS HAPPENING WITH THE WHO? WHAT ARE WE POINTING OUT?**

The EU Council has given the green light to negotiate a new treaty that would give the WHO a power of unimaginable proportions and a **whole new key role**. **The WHO would be placed above the national laws and constitutions of the Member States**. This means that its recommendations would thus become legally binding, as a result of which **the Member States would completely lose their sovereignty**: specifically, e.g. deciding on measures in the event of communicable diseases, natural disasters, climate change or war (which we have partly witnessed in the past two years).

**To understand the planned changes, we need some background knowledge**:

It was initially set up as a consultative institution, with no financial interests; it was financed exclusively by membership fees of the Member States. The public interest was at the forefront. Recently, the situation has changed considerably: WHO is funded from two main sources: Member States' membership fees, which cover less than 20% of all financial resources, and voluntary contributions from Member States and private donors.

The contribution is evaluated by a calculation based on gross domestic product per capita. For 2020-21, they received $ 7.2 trillion. Germany (12.18% of income), the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (11.65% of income) and the USA (7.85% of income) contributed the most.

**Where is the heart of the problem?**

The impact of the power players such as the World Bank, the World Economic Forum (WEF), the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, the US President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) and many other public-private partners, guides WHO policies and goals. We cannot ignore the fact of interest groups and backgrounds or clearly expressed donor tendencies, which inevitably leads to **conflicts of interest**.

**The power of money together with the amended contract would lead to many opportunities for abuse.**

**Why is changing definitions controversial?**

1. In 2009, the WHO changed the definition of when a pandemic can be declared and measures taken to prevent it. Prior to 2009, a pandemic could only be declared when a contagious disease spread rapidly across several continents, causing a very large number of seriously ill people and extremely high mortality.

By changing the definition, "a large number of seriously ill people and extremely high mortality rates" were removed from it. In this way, the WHO has expanded its powers and can declare a pandemic for common seasonal viruses.

1. In 2020, the WHO changed the definition of herd immunity. According to the previous, herd immunity could have developed through illness or vaccination, which is in line with medical science. Attention: according to the new definition, herd immunity develops only through vaccination, which is contrary to the findings of the profession (natural immunity acquired through illness is better than that acquired through vaccination).
2. In 2021, the WHO also changed the definition of vaccines, which does not correspond to the current definition of vaccine composition and the way they work. Please note: by doing so the WHO allows the possibility of introducing various medical preparations and procedures that have nothing to do with the way vaccines work.

The possible consequences of the already introduced changes open up many possibilities for abuse, such as

- introduction of compulsory vaccination for non-medical interests (financial interests of donors)

- the introduction of the use of medical devices with a lower safety standard in situations where this is not really necessary.

In fact, the standards set for vaccine registration are significantly lower than the safety standards for other medical devices. This allows the introduction of new preparations and technologies called "vaccines", which is easier and cheaper for the manufacturer. In doing so, consumer safety is sacrificed.

We, the citizens of the\* write your own coutry, see the exit from the WHO as the only way to protect our security and the sovereignty of the \* write your own country.

The organization that will perform the primary activities of the WHO can be replaced by an appropriate professional institution that will follow good guidelines and the way the WHO worked before it was taken over by corporations and big capital.

\*your signature